# Journal of Pipeline Systems - Engineering and Practice Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change --Manuscript Draft--









 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 



 $\stackrel{\bullet}{=}$ 



Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change

**Introduction**

 Methods for calculating the magnitude of unrestrained expansion and contraction of materials due to temperature and pressure change are taught in engineering courses and derived in commonly used text. These methods are often applied to calculate axial displacement of unrestrained pipe due to changes in temperature and both internal and external pressures. However, axial displacement of a buried pipe is partially restrained by friction that develops at the pipe-embedment interface. A mathematical expression describing axial displacement of pipe under this condition is developed herein to support better understanding of buried pipe behavior and facilitate additional research.

 The ASCE Task Committee on Thrust Restraint Design for Buried Pipelines recognized the need for a mathematical description of this problem (ASCE 2014). The committee suggested that the relationship between frictional resistance and displacement at the pipe-embedment interface must be similar to that derived by geotechnical engineers for the purpose of approximating pile foundation vertical displacement. Approximate closed-form solutions that describe axial displacement of piles have been developed by Randolph and Wroth (Randolph and Wroth 1978) and Motta (Motta 1994). Their solutions assume linear elastic behavior of the pile and elastic perfectly plastic behavior of adjacent soil. Such mathematical formulations helped clarify how foundation piles transfer load to the surrounding soil. These concepts, with modifications, are used herein to develop equations that describe buried pipe axial displacement and pipe wall axial stress caused by both temperature and pressure changes. The solution is then used to derive an expression for the limiting axial displacement at the free end of an infinitely long buried pipe due to temperature and pressure change. The solution confirms the intuitive expectation that the maximum pipe wall stress in a buried pipe that is not restrained at both ends and experiences temperature and pressure change is less than the value calculated for a pipe restrained at both ends. Variables are reduced to dimensionless form and a chart that presents relationships between

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline problem variables is presented. Finally, application and limitations regarding the use of derived equations are discussed.

#### **Problem Statement**

 A simple model of a buried pipe is used to facilitate the development of a mathematical solution. Figure 1 illustrates the conceptual problem. A horizontal pipe of constant cross-section and length 2*a* experiences normal and shear stresses that act uniformly about its circumference at the pipe- embedment interface. The pipe expands or contracts due to temperature or pressure change resulting in shear stress that varies along the pipe length. Normal stress is herein presumed uniform along the entire length of pipe for mathematical convenience. Initially, there is no shear stress at the pipe-embedment interface. Shear stress at the pipe-embedment interface develops in response to axissymetric axial displacement caused by temperature change, ΔT, change in external total stress acting normal to the circumference, ΔQ, and change in internal pipe pressure, ΔP. Individually or acting together, ΔT, ΔQ and ΔP cause the pipe to expand or contract both radially and axially. There are no caps or restraints at either end of the conceptual pipe. Frictional resistance that develops along the pipe-embedment interface is the only force opposing pipe axial displacement. A mathematical solution that approximately describes axial displacement for buried pipe

experiencing ΔT, ΔQ and ΔP is derived.

 Simplifying assumptions are made to facilitate the mathematical solution. Both the pipe and embedment materials are presumed to be linear elastic, homogeneous and isotropic materials. Pipe wall strain due to temperature change is linearly proportional to ΔT. Body forces are not considered. Shear and normal stresses acting at the pipe-embedment interface are axissymetric. Also, it is presumed that initially no shear or axial stresses act on or in the pipe wall. A stick-slip model is used to describe pipe-

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline embedment interface friction. The stick-slip model is described in the next section. Additional simplifying assumptions are introduced in subsequent discussion as they are applied.

 The problem is two-dimensional since a pipe subject to changes ΔT, ΔQ and ΔP must expand or contract both radially and axially. However, for mathematical convenience, an expression is derived for the one- dimensional axial displacement condition. Hence, functions relating pipe-embedment interface normal and shear stress to radial expansion or contraction of the pipe are not included in the derivation. Geometric symmetry about the pipe centerline allows simplification of the problem. As seen in Figure 1. The pipe has length 2a. The horizontal distance from the center of the pipe, x, is positive to the right of

75 symmetry. Additionally, functions representing embedment-pipe interface axial shear stress  $\tau(x)$ , pipe 76 axial displacement,  $\delta(x)$ , and pipe-wall axial stress,  $\sigma(x)$ , are expected to be symmetric about x=0. The symmetry of these functions is exemplified by graphs presented on Figure 2. Due to this symmetry, a 78 solution that describes  $\tau(x)$ ,  $\delta(x)$  and  $\sigma(x)$  for positive values of x is sufficient to completely describe the problem.

centerline and negative to the left. ΔT, ΔQ and ΔP will not result in axial displacement at x=0 due to

80 Expectedly, the plots of  $\tau(x)$ ,  $\delta(x)$  and  $\sigma(x)$  are mirrored about the x-axis for conditions of pipe expansion and contraction as shown on Figure 2. This results from two conceptual model conditions. First, is the condition that, τ(x), δ(x) and σ(x) are zero prior to application of ΔT, ΔQ and ΔP. Second, materials are modeled to be linear thermoelastic and exhibit linear response to temperature and pressure change. Consequently, a complete solution may be represented by the solution to either the pipe expansion or contraction condition. Therefore, for convenience, the solution is developed herein only for the conditions of ΔT, ΔQ and ΔP that result in pipe expansion.

 Figure 3 presents the problem to be solved for the expanding pipe right of centerline, i.e. positive x, 88  $\tau(x)$ , δ(x) and σ(x) values. The left end, x=0, is "fixed" and the right end, x=a, is "free". The boundary

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline 89 conditions at the ends of the pipe are:  $\delta(0) = 0$ ,  $d\sigma(0)/dx = 0$ , and  $\sigma(a) = 0$ . A discontinuity is present at 90 x=b where  $\delta(b) = \delta_m$ . Shear stress increases linearly in the region 0≤x<br/>some to and  $\tau(b) = \tau_m$ . Shear 91 stress is constant and of magnitude  $\tau_m$  in the region b≤x≤a. Graphs depicting a set of possible functions 92 of  $\tau(x)$ ,  $\delta(x)$  and  $\sigma(x)$  are presented on Figure 4. These graphs were created using the subsequently 93 developed solution using conditions discussed later in this paper.

## 94 **Pipe-Embedment Interface Shear Behavior**

 Figure 4 shows τ(x) increasing in magnitude with increasing x in the region 0≤x≤b and constant in the region b≤x≤a. The discontinuity at x=b is a consequence of assuming a stick-slip model to represent the friction that develops on the pipe-embedment interface. The stick-slip model for the pipe-embedment interface friction behavior is portrayed on Figure 5 and described by the following equations.

$$
99 \quad \tau(x) = \delta(x)\psi \quad \text{for } \delta(x) < \delta_m \tag{1}
$$

100 
$$
\tau_m = \delta_m \psi
$$
 for  $\delta(x) \ge \delta_m$ 

101 Where

102 
$$
\tau(x)
$$
 = pipe-embedment shear stress at x.

103  $\delta(x)$  = pipe axial displacement at x.

- 104  $\delta_m$  = magnitude of pipe axial displacement required to mobilize τ<sub>m</sub>.
- 105  $\tau_m$ = maximum pipe-embedment interface frictional resistance.

106  $\psi = \tau_m / \delta_m$ .

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline Herein, b is termed the development length and is the least value of x at which the pipe has moved 108 sufficiently to achieve  $\tau_m$ . The mathematical solution developed herein presumes pipe axial 109 displacement at x=a is greater than or equal to  $\delta_m$ .

The pipe behavior in the regions 0≤x<b and b≤x≤a have the following interpretations:

111 • O≤x<b: the embedment adjacent to the pipe moves with the pipe as the pipe displaces axially in 112 response to  $\Delta T$ ,  $\Delta Q$  and  $\Delta P$ . In other words, the embedment seemingly sticks to the pipe. The shear stress at the pipe-embedment interface increases linearly with displacement and occurs concurrently with the development of embedment shear strain.

 b≤x≤a: The pipe has displaced axially a sufficient distance in response to changes in ΔT, ΔQ and 116  $\Delta P$  to achieve  $\tau_m$  at the pipe-embedment interface. The pipe slips past the embedment with 117 constant shear stress,  $\tau_m$ .

118 Values that best represent variables  $\tau_m$  and  $\delta_m$  depend, among other things, on embedment properties, pipe embedment interface frictional characteristics, history of pipe expansion and contraction, and 120 pipe-embedment geometric variables. The hypothetical τ(x) v. δ(x) plot, with a limiting value of τ<sub>m'</sub> is analogous to the bilinear t-z curve proposed by Motta in his development of an approximate closed- form solution for the displacement of axially loaded piles (Motta, 1994). Motta stated, "Procedures for the evaluation of t-z curves are mainly empirical, however some theoretical basis has been given (Kraft et al. 1981)."

 Different sets of equations are needed to describe pipe behavior and pipe-embedment interaction on either side of the discontinuity at x=b. These equations are developed herein. Equilibrium, conditions of continuity and compatibility and boundary conditions are used to derive the problem solution.

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline Figures 6a and 6b separate the problem into two parts that are characterized by 0≤x<b and b≤x≤a. Different boundary conditions apply to these pipe segments. Hence, these pipe segments are treated separately in subsequent development of a mathematical solution.

**One-Dimensional Representation of the Problem**

A one-dimensional model is developed to simplify derivation of a mathematical solution. The circular

pipe is herein modeled as a horizontal plate of uniform thickness and having a width equal to the

outside circumference. This is illustrated in cross-sections on Figures 7a and 7b. The length of the plate

is equal to the length of the pipe, the width of the plate is equal to the outside circumference of the

pipe, and the cross-sectional area of the plate is equal to the cross-sectional area of the pipe wall.

Friction develops on only one side of the plate to appropriately represent friction developing only on the

outside of a pipe.

The cross-sectional area of the pipe wall and hypothetical plate are equal. To ensure this, the

transformed thickness, t, of the hypothetical plate is the pipe cross-section wall area, A, divided by the

pipe external circumference.

$$
142 \qquad t = \frac{A}{\pi D_2} \tag{3}
$$

Where:

A = pipe wall cross-sectional area.

145  $D_2$  = pipe outside diameter.

This transformation of pipe wall thickness simplifies subsequent calculations while appropriately

maintaining important pipe problem characteristics. Comparing the circular pipe to the one-dimensional

148 plate model: shear stress at the pipe-embedment interface acts on equal surface areas resulting in the

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline same values for axial force; and the axial force is divided by equal cross-sectional area resulting in the same axial stresses. Axial stress is presumed to develop uniformly and equally within both the plate and pipe wall due to the contribution of shear stress on one surface. The equality of both surface and cross- sectional areas for the plate and pipe ensures equivalent axial stress. A unit width of the transformed pipe is used in subsequent problem development.

#### **Thermal and Pressure Effects**

- 155 The component of pipe axial strain due to  $\Delta T$ ,  $\Delta Q$  and  $\Delta P$ ,  $\varepsilon_1$ , is constant along the length of the pipe and
- is approximated by (Boresi and Sidebottom 1985):

$$
157 \qquad \qquad \circ \quad \varepsilon_1 = C\Delta T - 2\nu/E \ (\Delta Q \ D_2^2 - \Delta P \ D_1^2) / \ (D_2^2 - D_1^2)
$$

## where:



# **Pipe Wall Stress-Strain Behavior**

164 The component of pipe wall axial strain,  $\epsilon_2(x)$ , caused by pipe wall axial stress,  $\sigma(x)$  is approximated by:

165 
$$
\epsilon_2(x) = \frac{\sigma(x)}{E}
$$
 5  
166  $\circ$  Herein, pipe wall compressive stress and strain are positive.

### 167 **Solution**

- 168 Initially, the general equations describing relationships between stress, strain and displacement are
- 169 defined. This is followed by independent development of the governing equations for pipe segments left
- 170 and right of the discontinuity at  $x = b$ .
- 171 The rate of change of axial displacement with respect to x is:

$$
172 \quad \frac{d}{dx}\delta(x) = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2(x) \tag{6}
$$

173 Figure 8 is a free-body diagram for an infinitesimal length, dx, of a unit width of transformed pipe wall

- 174 having transformed thickness, t.
- 175 Horizontal force equilibrium on segment dx leads to the following expression.

$$
176 \qquad \frac{d}{dx}\sigma(x) = -\frac{\tau(x)}{t}
$$

177 Substituting Eq. 5 into Eq. 6 yields.

$$
178 \quad \frac{d}{dx}\delta(x) = \varepsilon_1 - \frac{\sigma(x)}{E}
$$

- 179 *Develop problem for 0≤x<b*
- 180 Substitute Eq. 1 into Eq. 7.

$$
181 \quad \frac{d}{dx}\sigma(x) = \frac{-\delta(x)\psi}{t}
$$

182 Differentiate Eq. 9 with respect to x.

183 
$$
\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\sigma(x) = -\frac{\psi}{t}\left\{\frac{d}{d(x)}\delta(x)\right\}
$$
10

184 Substitute Eq. 8 into Eq. 10.

185 
$$
\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\sigma(x) = -\frac{\psi}{t}\left\{\varepsilon_1 - \frac{\sigma(x)}{E}\right\}
$$
11

186 Rearrange Eq. 11.

187 
$$
\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\sigma(x) - \frac{\psi}{Et}\sigma(x) = -\frac{\psi}{t}\{\varepsilon_1\}
$$
12

# 188 The general solution to Eq. 12 is

189 
$$
\sigma(x) = C_1 e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx} + \varepsilon_1 E
$$
 13

190 where

$$
191 \qquad k = \sqrt{\frac{\psi}{Et}}
$$

- 192 and  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants. 14
- 193 Differentiate equation 13.

194 
$$
\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = C_1 k \, e^{kx} - C_2 k \, e^{-kx}
$$

195 Substitute 13 into 8.

$$
196 \quad \frac{d}{dx}\delta(x) = \varepsilon_1 - \frac{C_1 e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx} + \varepsilon_1 E}{E}
$$

197 Rearrange 16 and integrate between x= 0 and x.

198 
$$
\delta(x) = \frac{C_1 kt (1 - e^{kx}) - C_2 kt (1 - e^{-kx})}{\psi} + C_3
$$

199 where  $C_3$  is introduced as an integration constant.

$$
200 \t\t Develop problem for b \leq x \leq a
$$

- 201 By problem definition  $\tau(x) = \tau_m$  in the region *for b≤x≤a*.
- 202 Substitute Eq. 2 into Eq. 7

$$
203 \quad \frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{-\tau_m}{t} \tag{18}
$$

204 Rearrange Eq. 18 and integrate between b and x

205 
$$
\sigma(x) = \frac{-\tau_m}{t}(x - b) + C_4
$$
 19

- 206 where  $C_4$  is introduced as an integration constant.
- 207 Substitute Eq. 19 into Eq. 8

$$
208 \qquad \frac{d}{dx}\delta(x) = \varepsilon_1 - \frac{\frac{-\tau_m}{t}(x-b) + C_4}{E}
$$

209 Rearrange Eq. 20 and integrate between the limits b and x

210 
$$
\delta(x) = \frac{\tau_m}{2Et}(x-b)^2 - \frac{C_4 - E\epsilon_1}{E}(x-b) + C_5
$$

- 211 where  $C_5$  is introduced as an integration constant.
- 212 *Solve for Constants*
- 213 Boundary and compatibility/continuity conditions are used to solve for constants C1 through C5.
- 214 Apply the boundary condition  $\delta(0) = 0$  to Eq. 17.

$$
215 \t C_3 = 0 \t 22
$$

216 Apply the boundary condition,  $\sigma(a)=0$  to Eq. 19.

$$
217 \qquad C_4 = \frac{\tau_m}{t} (a - b) \tag{23}
$$

218 Apply the boundary condition  $\delta(b) = \delta_m$  to Eq.21.

$$
219 \t C_5 = \delta_m
$$

220 Equate Eq. 13 and Eq. 19 to ensure pipe wall axial stress compatibility at x=b. Solve for  $C_1$ .

221 
$$
C_1 = e^{-kb} \left( \frac{(a-b)\tau_m}{t} - E \varepsilon_1 - C_2 e^{-kb} \right)
$$
 25

222 Equating Eqs. 17 and 21 to ensure axial displacement compatibility at  $x=b$ . Solve for  $C_2$ .

223 
$$
C_2 = \frac{k((a-b)\tau_m - E\epsilon_1 t)(e^{-kb} - 1) - \psi \delta_m}{kt(e^{-kb} - 1)^2}
$$

224 *Solve for development length (b)*

225 Apply the boundary condition dσ(0)/dx=0 to Eq. 15 and solve for C1.

$$
226 \qquad C_1 = C_2 \tag{27}
$$

227 Equate Eqs. 25 and 26 and solving for b.

$$
228 \qquad b = \frac{\frac{\tau_m}{2} \cdot \text{LambertW}\left(\frac{2}{\tau_m} \cdot e^{-(\frac{2}{\tau_m}(\psi \delta_m + a\tau_m k E - \epsilon_1 t k E))}\right) + \psi \delta_m + a\tau_m k - \epsilon_1 t k E}{\tau_m k}
$$

229 Solve for the maximum axial pipe displacement,  $\delta_{\text{max}}$ 

- 230 The distance (a-b) reaches a limiting value as b increases.
- 231 Substitute Eq. 25 and Eq 26 into Eq. 27 and solve for (a-b).

232 
$$
(a - b) = \frac{\tau_m (e^{-bk} - 1)^2 + (2\psi \delta_m e^{-bk} + E \epsilon_1 kt(e^{-2bk} - 1))}{\tau_m k(e^{-2bk} - 1)}
$$

233 Solve for the limiting value.

$$
234 \quad \lim_{b \to \infty} (a - b) = \frac{E \epsilon_1 \tau_m}{\tau_m} - \frac{1}{k}
$$

235 This suggests that buried pipe of sufficient length has a limit to axial displacement,  $\delta_{max}$ .

# 236 Apply this limiting value of (a-b) to Eq. 27

$$
237 \qquad \delta_{max} = \frac{\delta_m}{2} + \frac{E t \epsilon_1^2}{2 \tau_m} \tag{31}
$$

238 Observe that  $\delta_{max}$  is independent of pipe length.

# 239 **Solve for the limiting value of pipe wall axial stress**

- 240 The maximum axial stress,  $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ , occurs at the value of x causing do(x)/dx=0.
- 241 Set Eq. 15 equal to 0 and solve for x. The result is  $x=0$ . Consequently,  $\sigma_{\text{max}}$  occurs at  $x=0$ .

242 Find 
$$
\sigma_{max} = \sigma(0)
$$
 using Eq. 13.

$$
243 \qquad \sigma_{max} = C_1 + C_2 + \varepsilon_1 E \tag{32}
$$

244 A classical thermoelastic material that is fully restrained at both ends, without friction, and subject to

245 temperature or pressure change will experience an axial pressure  $\varepsilon_1 E$ . A value less than this is

- 246 intuitively expected since friction on the sides of the pipe assumes some of the stress. Consequently, the
- 247 sum  $(C1+C2) \le 0$  is a necessary condition for Eq. 32 to be reasonable.

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline 248 Experimentation using the equations above reveals that the sum (C1+C2) is negative and approaches 0 249 as embedment length, b, approaches infinity. Hence, the assumption that  $\varepsilon_1 E$  equates to the maximum 250 stress is generally appropriate for a long pipe.

### 251 **Dimensionless Variables**

252 The dependent variables  $\sigma(x)$  and  $\delta(x)$  may be calculated using Eqs. 13 and 17 for the region 0≤x<br/>s and 253 Eqs. 19 and 21 for the region b≤x≤a. The independent variables are x,  $\delta_m$ ,  $\tau_m$ , E, t, a, and  $\epsilon_1$ : where t and 254 ε1 are calculated using Eqs. 3 and 4 respectively. Figure 4 exemplifies results of calculations using the 255 following variable values.

256  $δ<sub>m</sub> = 5 mm$ 

257  $\tau_m = 20 \text{ KN/m}^2$ 

258  $E = 3000 \text{ MN/m}^2$ 

259  $t = 25$  mm

 $260 a = 25 m$ 

261  $\varepsilon_1 = 0.005$ 

262 The equations were applied by first calculating the development length (b) using Eq.28. This requires the

263 use of software having the LambertW function. Alternatively, b could be determined by equating Eq. 25

264 and Eq. 26 and solving iteratively for a value of b that adequately approximates the equality.

265 Subsequently, Eqs. 22 through 26 were used to calculate the constants C1 through C5. Finally, σ(x) and

266  $\delta(x)$  were calculated by applying problem variables and constants C1 through C5 to Eqs. 13 and 17 for

267 the region 0≤x<b and to Eqs. 19 and 21 for the region b≤x≤a.

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline 268 Tables can be created that present the results of calculations for dependent variables  $\sigma(x)$  and  $\delta(x)$  for 269 preselected values of the independent variables. However, many pages of tabularized values could 270 result. For example, consider representing each of the seven independent variables using three values. A 271 total of  $3^7$ =2187 combinations exist.

 The number of independent variables can be reduced using dimensional analysis and thereby permit a more condensed presentation of the derived functions. Dimensional analysis was accomplished by the author using methodology described by Langhaar (Langhaar 1951). The following represents a complete set of dimensionless variables.

$$
276 \qquad \{\sigma(x)/\tau_m, \, \delta(x)/\delta_m, \, x/\delta_m, \, a/\delta_m, \, t/\delta_m, \, E/\tau_m, \, \epsilon_1\}
$$

277 The dimensionless dependent variables are  $\sigma(x)/\tau_m$  and  $\delta(x)/\delta_m$ . The number of independent variables 278 has been reduced from seven to five. Representing each of the five independent variables with three 279 values results in a total of  $3<sup>5</sup>$  = 243 combinations. This is much less than the 2187 combinations needed 280 for the original seven independent variables. Nevertheless, 243 is still many combinations. Furthermore, 281 a table of values does not facilitate a clear understanding of the relationship between variables.

282 A chart that graph  $\sigma(x)/\tau_m$  and  $\delta(x)/\delta_m$  for several values of  $\epsilon_1$  and continuously with x would reasonably 283 contain considerably more information and information that is more easily interpreted than tabularized 284 results. Such a chart is presented on Figure 10. Figure 10 presents  $\sigma(x)/\tau_m$  and  $\delta(x)/\delta_m$  continuously with 285 respect to x and presents results for 5 values of  $\varepsilon$ 1. The chart presents data for single values of 286 dimensionless variables a/ $\delta_{m}$ , t/ $\delta_{m}$  and E/ $\tau_{m}$ . Hence, a single chart represents all but the three 287 dimensionless variables a/ $\delta_m$ , t/ $\delta_m$  and E/ $\tau_m$  by multiple values. A set of charts, consisting of  $3^3$ =27 288 individual charts, would convey calculated results for 3 values representing each of these three 289 remaining dimensionless variables.

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline The optimum ranges to be used in plotting sets of charts would depend most significantly on pipe material type, of which there are many. Creating these charts is beyond the scope of this paper.

# **Application**

 The conceptual pipe model and its presented solution characterize the general behavior of buried pipe with respect to axial displacement in response to temperature and pressure change. The model and mathematical representation attempts to clarify the way a pipe transfers load to the surrounding embedment. It is expected that the reduced number of independent variables created by dimensional analysis will simplify experimental designs for physical models. The mathematical solution may be applied to practical situations with careful consideration given to the effects of the simplifying and inherent assumptions. Although all assumptions and their effect on the calculated values should be 300 considered when using the equations, special attention must be given to selection of  $\tau_m$  and  $\delta_m$ .

 Shear stress and normal stress have been assumed to be radially uniform. Expectedly, shear and normal stress will be dependent on the degree of expansion or contraction. Both normal stress and shear stress will be lower when the pipe is contracting than when it is expanding, and their magnitude would be a function of the magnitude of change in pipe radius. The magnitude of the rate of change of both the normal and shear stress will not be the same for both the expansion and contraction conditions. Additionally, in cyclic contraction-expansion conditions, it might be expected that the nonuniform 307 alternating behavior of stress and strain in the embedment will cause  $\sigma(x)$  and  $\delta(x)$  to exhibit hysteresis. Finally, the embedment stress distribution is not uniform about a buried pipe nor is embedment expected to be homogeneous and isotropic as assumed for the model. These deviations from the ideal 310 must be considered when selecting representative values  $\delta_m$  and  $\tau_m$  and when interpreting the results of calculations.

Buried Pipe Axial Displacement due to Temperature and Pressure Change Mark C. Gemperline Perfectly straight pipe that will not buckle under axial compressive stress is inherently presumed for this model and analysis. Neither condition is expected for long pipe buried at shallow depth. However, application of the results of this work might support understanding that both lateral and axial movement due to pipe bending and associated stress relief would be limited. Numerical models might be developed to solve the differential equations for a wide range of boundary conditions. Such models may add functions to represent pipe-interface stress as a function of expansion and contraction. The mathematical solution presented herein provides a basis to verify numerical model performance for a simple condition. Physical models, such as field and laboratory tests on pipe, may be used to evaluate the effects of assumptions inherent to the mathematical solution. Such evaluation would expectedly lead to a better understanding of the nature of pipe-embedment interaction. It has been shown that five independent dimensionless variables can be used to describe the behavior of the simple model of a buried pipe that experiences a change in temperature and pressure. Hence, these variables should be controlled in experimental designs. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that sets of charts may be created that portray the results of calculations in a meaningful way. Together, these contributions will support the design of

experiments that better reveal the nature of pipe-embedment interaction caused by changes in

temperature and pressure.

**Summary**

 A mathematical expression describing buried pipe axial displacement caused by changes in temperature and pressure and that is resisted by friction on the pipe-embedment interface is developed to support better understanding of buried pipe behavior and facilitate additional research. The derived equations permit calculation of the upper limit to length change for an unrestrained, long, buried pipe subject to temperature and pressure change. The equations also show that the magnitude of the maximum stress is less than that which is commonly calculated for fully constrained pipe expansion and contraction but approaches the latter value as pipe increases in length. Problem variables are reduced to dimensionless form and a chart that presents relationships is presented. It is concluded that a set of 27 charts can be used to describe relationships between the two dimensionless dependent variables representing pipe wall stress and displacement and the five independent variables. The resulting equations must be used with careful consideration given to the simplifying assumptions

that were made to facilitate a mathematical solution to the problem. The number of problem variables

has been reduced by dimensional analysis. It is hoped that dimensionless problem variables will be

helpful in the development of future experimental designs.

## **Data Availability Statement**

All data, models, and code generated or used during the study appear in the submitted article.

## **Acknowledgements**

 I would like to thank my wife for her encouragement and support throughout my career, including this endeavor.

### 350 **Notation**

- 351 The following symbols are used in this paper:
- 352
- 353 A = pipe wall cross-sectional area.
- 354 a = pipe length.
- 355 b = development length the distance from x=0 at which interface friction is fully mobilized.
- 356 C = coefficient of linear thermal expansion for the pipe material.
- 357  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  are constants derived from boundary conditions.
- 358  $D_1$  = pipe inside diameter.
- 359  $D_2$  = pipe outside diameter.
- 360 E = elastic modulus representing pipe wall material.

$$
361 \qquad k = \sqrt{\frac{\psi}{Et}}
$$

- 362 t = transformed pipe wall thickness. Defined herein:  $t = A/\pi D_2$ .
- 363 x = longitudinal distance from pipe fixed location.
- 364 ΔP= pipe internal pressure change.
- $365$   $\Delta Q$  = change in total external stress on pipe.
- 366 ΔT = temperature change.
- 367  $\delta_{\rm m}$ = minimum pipe displacement required to fully mobilize  $\tau_{\rm m}$
- 368  $\delta_{\text{max}}$  Pipe displacement at the free end of an infinitely long pipe.
- 369  $\delta(x)$  = horizontal displacement of the pipe at x.
- 370  $\varepsilon$ 1 = approximate change in axial strain due to a changes in temperature and pressure for the condition
- 371 of unrestricted pipe axial displacement.

- $372 \quad \epsilon 2(x)$  = change in horizontal pipe axial strain at x due caused by the buildup of frictional force.
- ν = Poisson ratio representing pipe wall material.
- $\sigma(x)$  = horizontal stress in the pipe wall at x. (tension positive)
- 375  $\tau_m$  = maximum interface shear stress ( $\psi \delta_m$ ).displacement.
- 376  $\tau(x)$  = frictional shear stress at the embedment-pipe interface at location x due to pipe axial
- 377  $\psi$  = embedment-pipe interface friction constant (τ<sub>m</sub> /δ(b)), dimensions are F/L<sup>3</sup>.
- 

#### **References**

- Task Committee on Thrust Restraint Design of Buried Pipelines. 2014. "Research Needs and
- Recommendations for Testing Thrust Restraint of Buried Pipelines." *ASCE Pipelines 2014: From*
- *Underground to the Forefront of Innovation and Sustainability.* pp. 1805-1815. Portland OR.
- 
- Boresi, A.P., Schmidt, R.J. and Sidebottom, O.M., 1985. *Advanced Mechanics of Materials*, New York: Wiley.
- 
- Kraft Jr, L.M., Ray, R.P. and Kagawa, T. 1981. "Theoretical t-z curves." *Journal of the Geotechnical*
- *Engineering Division*, ASCE 107(11), pp.1543-1561.
- 

Mathcad, version 14.0.0.163 [build 701291152], Copyright © 2007 Parametric Technology Corporation.

- Motta, E., 1994. "Approximate elastic-plastic solution for axially loaded piles." *Journal of Geotechnical*
- *Engineering*, ASCE, 120(9), pp.1616-1624.
- 
- Randolph, M.F. and Wroth, C.P. 1978. "Analysis of deformation of vertically loaded piles." *Journal of the*
- *Geotechnical Engineering Division*, ASCE, 104(12), pp.1465-1488.







 $\uparrow$ 

















List of Figures

Figure 1. Conceptual pipe model.

Figure 2. Pipe-interface shear stress τ(x), pipe axial displacement  $\delta(x)$ , pipe axial stress, σ(x), and development length.

Figure 3. abridged conceptual pipe model

Figure 4. Abridged conceptual pipe model - pipe-interface shear stress τ(x), pipe axial displacement  $δ(x)$ , pipe axial stress, σ(x), and development length.

Figure 5. Pipe-embedment interface stick-slip model.

Figure 6. Two parts of the problem.

Figure 7. Free Body of infinitesimal axial length (dx) of a unit circumferential length of pipe wall having thickness t.

Figure 8. Transformation to a one-dimensional problem.

Figure 9. Example chart that presents results of calculations in dimensionless terms.